



**IEEE Standard for  
Local and metropolitan area networks—**

**Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks**

**Amendment 5:**

**Connectivity Fault Management**

---

**IEEE Computer Society**

Sponsored by the  
LAN/MAN Standards Committee

---

IEEE  
3 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10016-5997, USA  
17 December 2007

**IEEE Std 802.1ag™-2007**  
(Amendment to  
IEEE Std 802.1Q™-2005  
as amended by  
IEEE Std 802.1ad™-2005  
and IEEE Std 802.1ak™-2007)



**IEEE Std 802.1ag™-2007**

(Amendment to  
IEEE Std 802.1Q™-2005  
as amended by  
IEEE Std 802.1ad™-2005  
and IEEE Std 802.1ak™-2007)

**IEEE Standard for  
Local and metropolitan area networks—**

**Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks**

# **Amendment 5: Connectivity Fault Management**

Sponsor

**LAN/MAN Standards Committee  
of the  
IEEE Computer Society**

Approved 27 September 2007

**IEEE SA-Standards Board**

**Abstract:** This amendment specifies protocols, procedures, and managed objects to support connectivity fault management. These allow discovery and verification of the path, through bridges and LANs, taken for frames addressed to and from specified network users. Connectivity faults can be detected and isolated to an individual bridge or LAN.

**Keywords:** error detection, fault management, LANs, local area networks, MAC Bridges, MANs, metropolitan area networks, OAM, transparent bridging, VLANs

---

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.  
3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

Copyright © 2007 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.  
All rights reserved. Published 17 December 2007. Printed in the United States of America.

IEEE and 802 are registered trademarks in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, owned by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated.

PDF: ISBN 978-0-7381-5689-7      STD95724  
Print: ISBN 978-0-7381-5690-3      STDPD95724

*No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.*

IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. The IEEE develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and serve without compensation. While the IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, the IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information contained in its standards.

Use of an IEEE Standard is wholly voluntary. The IEEE disclaims liability for any personal injury, property or other damage, of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, or reliance upon this, or any other IEEE Standard document.

The IEEE does not warrant or represent the accuracy or content of the material contained herein, and expressly disclaims any express or implied warranty, including any implied warranty of merchantability or fitness for a specific purpose, or that the use of the material contained herein is free from patent infringement. IEEE Standards documents are supplied "AS IS."

The existence of an IEEE Standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE Standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard. Every IEEE Standard is subjected to review at least every five years for revision or reaffirmation. When a document is more than five years old and has not been reaffirmed, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE Standard.

In publishing and making this document available, the IEEE is not suggesting or rendering professional or other services for, or on behalf of, any person or entity. Nor is the IEEE undertaking to perform any duty owed by any other person or entity to another. Any person utilizing this, and any other IEEE Standards document, should rely upon the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances.

Interpretations: Occasionally questions may arise regarding the meaning of portions of standards as they relate to specific applications. When the need for interpretations is brought to the attention of IEEE, the Institute will initiate action to prepare appropriate responses. Since IEEE Standards represent a consensus of concerned interests, it is important to ensure that any interpretation has also received the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason, IEEE and the members of its societies and Standards Coordinating Committees are not able to provide an instant response to interpretation requests except in those cases where the matter has previously received formal consideration. At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that his or her views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position, explanation, or interpretation of the IEEE.

Comments for revision of IEEE Standards are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE. Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments. Comments on standards and requests for interpretations should be addressed to:

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board  
445 Hoes Lane  
Piscataway, NJ 08854  
USA

Authorization to photocopy portions of any individual standard for internal or personal use is granted by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., provided that the appropriate fee is paid to Copyright Clearance Center. To arrange for payment of licensing fee, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; +1 978 750 8400. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

## Introduction

This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 802.1ag-2007, IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks—Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks—Amendment 5: Connectivity Fault Management.

The MAC Bridge standardization activities that resulted in the development of IEEE Std 802.1D™ introduced the concept of Filtering Services in Bridged Local Area Networks, mechanisms whereby filtering information in such LANs can be acquired and held in a Filtering Database, as well as support for expedited data, dynamic filtering of Group MAC addresses through the GMRP protocol, and the GARP protocol that supports GMRP and can support other applications.

IEEE Std 802.1Q-2005, a revision of IEEE Std 802.1Q-1998, defines mechanisms that allow the implementation of Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks, including:

- a) Virtual LAN Services;
- b) The operation of the Forwarding Process that is required;
- c) The structure of the Filtering Database that is required;
- d) The nature of the protocols and procedures that are required in order to provide Virtual LAN services, including the definition of the frame formats used to represent VLAN identification information, and the procedures used in order to insert and remove VLAN identifiers and the headers in which they are carried;
- e) The ability to support end-to-end signaling of priority information regardless of the intrinsic ability of the underlying MAC protocols to signal priority information;
- f) The GARP VLAN Registration Protocol (GVRP) that allows distribution and registration of VLAN membership information (the protocol described makes use of the GARP protocol defined in IEEE Std 802.1D);
- g) The management services and operations that are required in order to configure and administer networks;
- h) The ability to restrict Dynamic Group and VLAN registration based on the contents of static filtering entries;
- i) VLAN classification according to link layer protocol type;
- j) Support for VLANs carried over multiple Spanning Tree instances.

IEEE Std 802.1ad-2005, an amendment to IEEE Std 802.1Q-2005, defines mechanisms that allow the implementation of Provider Bridged Networks, including:

- k) The differentiation between Service VLANs (S-VLANs), identified by a new S-VLAN tag, and customer VLANs (C-VLANs), identified by the IEEE 802.1Q tag;
- l) The encapsulation of C-VLAN tags within S-VLAN tags, in order to allow a service provider to carry some or all of a customer's C-VLANs within a single S-VLAN;
- m) The provision of two levels of drop precedence separate from the priority levels of IEEE Std 802.1D, and the replacement of the Canonical Format Indicator of the C-VLAN tag by a Drop Eligible Indicator in the S-VLAN tag to carry the drop precedence;
- n) The passing of certain of the customers' control PDUs, including IEEE 802.1AB LLDP PDUs and IEEE 802.1Q Bridge PDUs, transparently through the Provider Bridged Network as ordinary data;
- o) The reassignment of MAC addresses used for control PDUs, including BPDUs, for use by Provider Bridges;
- p) The definition of C-VLAN components, added to Provider Bridge Ports to provide the functions necessary to support the allocation of C-VLANs to S-VLANs, to map priorities between networks, and to interact with the customers' control protocols; and
- q) The managed objects necessary to control these functions.

This standard provides Connectivity Fault Management (CFM) capabilities useful to Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks for detecting, isolating, and reporting connectivity faults. It is aimed primarily at Provider

Bridged Networks, but is useful also for C-VLAN networks. The mechanisms defined in this standard include:

- r) The ability to configure multiple nested Maintenance Domains over a Bridged Network or a network of Bridged Networks, each potentially managed by a different administrative organization;
- s) The ability to configure Maintenance Associations (MAs), each identified with a single Maintenance Domain, and on any given Bridge, a set of VLANs;
- t) The protocols, procedures, and CFM Protocol Data Unit (CFM PDU) formats required to detect, isolate, and report connectivity faults;
- u) The ability, within an MA, to configure and manage Maintenance Points (MPs) that generate and respond to CFM PDUs; and
- v) The ability to command MPs to perform certain fault isolation operations, and to inspect the results.

CFM is not to be confused with the Ethernet OAM capabilities described in IEEE Std 802.3<sup>TM</sup>, Clause 57. IEEE 802.3 OAM is concerned with detecting and reporting faults on a single point-to-point IEEE 802.3 LAN. IEEE Std 802.1ag-2007 is concerned with detecting, isolating, and reporting connectivity faults spanning networks comprising multiple LANs, including LANs other than IEEE 802.3 media.

This standard contains state-of-the-art material. The area covered by this standard is undergoing evolution. Revisions are anticipated within the next few years to clarify existing material, to correct possible errors, and to incorporate new related material. Information on the current revision state of this and other IEEE 802 standards can be obtained from

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board  
445 Hoes Lane  
P.O. Box 1331  
Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331  
USA

## Notice to users

### Errata

Errata, if any, for this and all other standards can be accessed at the following URL: <http://standards.ieee.org/reading/ieee/updates/errata/index.html>. Users are encouraged to check this URL for errata periodically.

### Interpretations

Current interpretations can be accessed at the following URL: <http://standards.ieee.org/reading/ieee/interp/index.html>.

### Patents

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. A patent holder or patent applicant has filed a statement of assurance that it will grant licenses under these rights without compensation or under reasonable rates, with reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination to applicants desiring to obtain such licenses. Other Essential Patent Claims may exist for which a statement of assurance has not been received. The IEEE is not responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a

license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patents Claims, or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Further information may be obtained from the IEEE Standards Association.

## Participants

At the time this standard was submitted to the IEEE-SA Standards Board for approval, the following were participants in the IEEE 802.1 Working Group:

**Anthony A. Jeffrey**, *Chair*

**Paul Congdon**, *Vice Chair*

**Stephen R. Haddock**, *Interworking Task Group Chair*

**Norman W. Finn**, *Editor-in-Chief*

**David V. Elie-Dit-Cosaque**, **Dinesh Mohan**,  
**Oscar Rodriguez**, **Ali Sajassi**, *Assistant Editors*

Alexei Beliaev	Vipin Jain	Jessy V. Rouyer
Jean-Michel Bonnamy	Hal Keen	Eric Ryu
Mike Borza	Yongbum Kim	Panagiotis Saltsidis
Paul Bottorff	Mike Ko	Sam Sambasivan
Rudolf Brandner	Bruce Kwan	John M. Sauer
Jim Burns	Kari Laihonen	Michael J. Seaman
Dirceu Cavendish	Yannick Le Goff	Koichiro Seto
Frank Chao	David Martin	Curtis Simonson
Uri Cummings	John Messenger	Nurit Sprecher
Russell Dietz	Hiroshi Ohta	Kevin B. Stanton
Linda Dunbar	Don Pannell	Robert Sultan
Hesham Elbakoury	Glenn Parsons	Muneyoshi Suzuki
Donald W. Fedyk	Ken Patton	Francois Tallet
Felix Feifei Feng	Haim Porat	Michael Johas Teener
David Frattura	Ray Qiu	John Terry
John Fuller	Karen Randall	Patricia A. Thaler
Geoffrey Garner	Robert Roden	Dennis Volpano
Anoop Ghanwani	Josef Roesse	Manoj Wadekar
Ken Grewal	Allyn Romanow	Bert Wijnen
Romain Insler	Dan Romascanu	Ludwig Winkel
Ran Ish-Shalom		Michael D. Wright

The following members of the individual balloting committee voted on this standard. Balloters may have voted for approval, disapproval, or abstention.

Toru Aihara	Thomas J. Dineen	Atsushi Ito
Thomas Alexander	Sourav K. Dutta	Raj Jain
Butch Anton	David V. Elie-Dit-Cosaque	Anthony A. Jeffrey
Hugh Barrass	Donald W. Fedyk	Michael D. Johas Teener
Tomo Bogataj	Norman W. Finn	Peter G. Jones
Matthew Burnburg	C. J. Fitzgerald	Bobby Jose
Edward J. Carley	Yukihiro Fujimoto	Shinkyō Kaku
James T. Carlo	Devon L. Gayle	Junghong Kao
Juan C. Carreon	Michael D. Geipel	Piotr Karocki
Keith Chow	Randall C. Groves	Stuart J. Kerry
Bryan P. Cook	C. G. Guy	Lior Khermosh
Tommy P. Cooper	Stephen R. Haddock	Yongbum Kim
Wael W. Diab	John F. Hawkins	Cees Klik
Russell S. Dietz	David Hunter	Thomas M. Kurihara

Jeremy Landt  
Juan L. Lazaro  
John Lemon  
G. Luri  
Arthur Marris  
Marco Mascitto  
Jonathon C. McLendon  
Richard I. Mellitz  
Gary L. Michel  
Dinesh Mohan  
Jose Morales  
Michael S. Newman  
Paul Nikolich  
Satoshi Obara

Robert O'Hara  
Stephen R. Palm  
Vikram Punj  
Jose Puthenkulam  
Maximilian Riegel  
Robert A. Robinson  
Fernando Lucas  
Rodriguez  
Jessy V. Rouyer  
John M. Sauer  
Bartien Sayogo  
Thomas Schossig  
Michael J. Seaman

Amjad A. Soomro  
Matthew B. Squire  
Manikantan Srinivasan  
Thomas E. Starai  
Walter Struppler  
Alourdes T. Sully  
Robert Sultan  
Joseph J. Tardo  
Patricia A. Thaler  
Mark-Rene Uchida  
Stanley S. Wang  
Stephen C. Webb  
Oren Yuen  
Janusz Zalewski

When the IEEE-SA Standards Board approved this standard on 27 September 2007, it had the following membership:

**Steve M. Mills, *Chair***

**Robert M. Grow, *Vice Chair***

**Don Wright, *Past Chair***

**Judith Gorman, *Secretary***

Richard DeBlasio  
Alex Gelman  
William R. Goldbach  
Arnold M. Greenspan  
Joanna N. Guenin  
Julian Forster\*  
Kenneth S. Hanus  
William B. Hopf

Richard H. Hulett  
Hermann Koch  
Joseph L. Koepfinger\*  
John Kulick  
David J. Law  
Glenn Parsons  
Ronald C. Petersen  
Tom A. Prevost

Narayanan Ramachandran  
Greg Ratta  
Robby Robson  
Anne-Marie Sahazizia  
Virginia C. Sulzberger  
Malcolm V. Thaden  
Richard L. Townsend  
Howard L. Wolfman

\*Member Emeritus

Also included are the following nonvoting IEEE-SA Standards Board liaisons:

Satish K. Aggarwal, *NRC Representative*

Alan H. Cookson, *NIST Representative*

Michelle D. Turner

*IEEE Standards Program Manager, Document Development*

Michael D. Kipness

*IEEE Standards Program Manager, Technical Program Development*

## Figures

Figure 6-1—MAC entities, the MAC Service, and MAC Service users (clients).....	14
Figure 6-2—An interface stack.....	15
Figure 6-3—Two back-to-back EISS Multiplex Entities .....	18
Figure 12-1—Relationships among CFM managed objects.....	24
Figure 18-1—One Maintenance Domain: operator’s view .....	119
Figure 18-2—One service instance: operator’s view.....	120
Figure 18-3—One service instance: customer’s view .....	120
Figure 18-4—MEP and MIP Symbols.....	121
Figure 18-5—Maintenance Associations: one service instance in a provider network.....	122
Figure 18-6—Maintenance Associations: Expansion of Figure 18-5.....	123
Figure 18-7—MEPs, MIPs, and MD Levels .....	124
Figure 19-1—CFM Protocol shims .....	125
Figure 19-2—Maintenance association End Point (MEP).....	128
Figure 19-3—MIP Half Function .....	133
Figure 19-4—Linktrace Output Multiplexer shim.....	135
Figure 19-5—Linktrace Output Multiplexer architecture.....	135
Figure 20-1—MEP state machines—overview and relationships.....	146
Figure 20-2—MEP Continuity Check Initiator state machine .....	152
Figure 20-3—MHF Continuity Check Receiver state machine.....	153
Figure 20-4—MEP Continuity Check Receiver state machine .....	156
Figure 20-5—Remote MEP state machine .....	158
Figure 20-6—Remote MEP Error state machine.....	159
Figure 20-7—MEP Cross Connect state machine .....	160
Figure 20-8—MP Loopback Responder state machine.....	162
Figure 20-9—MEP Loopback Initiator transmit state machine.....	164
Figure 20-10—MEP Loopback Initiator receive state machine .....	165
Figure 20-11—MEP Fault Notification Generator state machine.....	167
Figure 20-12—MEP Linktrace Initiator receive state machine.....	171
Figure 20-13—Linktrace Responder, MEPs, MHFs, and LOMs .....	173
Figure 20-14—LTM Receiver state machine .....	178
Figure 20-15—LTR Transmitter state machine.....	179
Figure 22-1—MEPs and MIPs distinguished by VID (incomplete picture).....	208
Figure 22-2—Alternate view of Forwarding process .....	209
Figure 22-3—Combining per-VLAN Maintenance Points into two shims .....	210
Figure 22-4—More complete picture of Maintenance Point placement in a Bridge Port.....	211
Figure 22-5—Service instance spanning two Bridges protected by Up MPs.....	213
Figure 22-6—Service instance spanning two Bridges protected by Down MPs.....	213
Figure 22-7—Maintenance Point placement in a non-VLAN aware Bridge Port.....	215
Figure 22-8—Maintenance Point placement relative to other standards.....	216
Figure 22-9—Creating MEPs and MIPs.....	219
Figure 22-10—CFM in a Provider Edge Bridge C-tagged service interface.....	225
Figure 22-11—Up MEPs in a Management Port.....	226
Figure 22-12—CFM in the enterprise environment .....	227
Figure 22-13—CFM on a Bridge conformant to IEEE Std 802.1Q-2005 .....	228
Figure J.1—Up MPs in a CFM Port .....	244

## Tables

Table 8-9—Continuity Check Message Group Destination MAC Addresses.....	22
Table 8-10—Linktrace Message Group Destination MAC Addresses.....	22
Table 17-1—Correspondence between variables, managed objects, and MIB objects.....	43
Table 17-2—Sensitive managed objects: tables and notifications.....	50
Table 17-3—Sensitive managed objects: variables in dot1agCfmMdTable.....	50
Table 19-1—Actions taken by MP OpCode Demultiplexers.....	129
Table 19-2—Received destination_address filtering by CFM entities.....	134
Table 19-3—SAP use for Linktrace Messages and Linktrace Replies.....	136
Table 20-1—Fault Alarm defects and priorities.....	140
Table 20-2—Deriving enableRmepDefect and Port Status TLV in a Bridge.....	149
Table 21-1—CFM PDU Encapsulation: Type/Length Media.....	186
Table 21-2—CFM PDU Encapsulation: LLC Media.....	186
Table 21-4—OpCode Field range assignments.....	187
Table 21-3—Common CFM Header format.....	187
Table 21-5—TLV format.....	188
Table 21-6—Type Field values.....	189
Table 21-7—Organization-Specific TLV format.....	189
Table 21-8—Sender ID TLV format.....	190
Table 21-9—Port Status TLV format.....	191
Table 21-10—Port Status TLV values.....	191
Table 21-11—Interface Status TLV format.....	192
Table 21-12—Interface Status TLV values.....	192
Table 21-15—Continuity Check Message format.....	193
Table 21-13—Data TLV format.....	193
Table 21-14—End TLV format.....	193
Table 21-16—CCM Interval field encoding.....	194
Table 21-17—CCM MAID field format: Maintenance Domain present.....	195
Table 21-19—Maintenance Domain Name Format.....	196
Table 21-18—CCM MAID field format: Maintenance Domain not present.....	196
Table 21-20—Short MA Name Format.....	197
Table 21-21—Loopback Message and Loopback Reply formats.....	198
Table 21-22—Linktrace Message format.....	199
Table 21-23—Linktrace Message Flags field.....	199
Table 21-25—Linktrace Reply format.....	201
Table 21-24—LTM Egress Identifier TLV format.....	201
Table 21-27—Relay Action field values.....	202
Table 21-26—Linktrace Reply Flags field.....	202
Table 21-28—LTR Egress Identifier TLV format.....	203
Table 21-29—Reply Ingress TLV format.....	203
Table 21-30—Ingress Action field values.....	204
Table 21-31—Reply Egress TLV format.....	205
Table 21-32—Egress Action field values.....	205
Table 22-1—MEP creation.....	218
Table 22-2—MIP creation.....	220
Table 22-3—Bandwidth required for CCMs for 1 MA.....	223
Table 22-4—Bandwidth required for CCMs for 1000 MAs.....	223
Table J.1—Provider MD Level allocation.....	240
Table J.2—IEEE / ITU-T terminology differences.....	241

## Contents

1.	Overview.....	1
	1.1 Scope.....	1
2.	Normative references.....	3
3.	Definitions.....	5
4.	Abbreviations.....	9
5.	Conformance.....	11
	5.10 VLAN-aware end station requirements for Connectivity Fault Management.....	11
6.	Support of the MAC Service.....	13
	6.1 Basic architectural concepts and terms.....	13
	6.2 Provision of the MAC service.....	16
	6.3 Support of the MAC service.....	17
	6.15 EISS Multiplex Entity.....	18
8.	Principles of bridge operation.....	21
	8.3 Model of operation.....	21
	8.12 Bridge management entity.....	21
	8.13 Addressing.....	21
12.	Bridge management.....	23
	12.1 Management functions.....	23
	12.2 Managed objects.....	23
	12.14 CFM entities.....	23
15.	Support of the MAC Service by Provider Bridged Networks.....	41
	15.10 Connectivity Fault Management.....	41
17.	Management protocol.....	43
	17.1 Internet Standard Management Framework.....	43
	17.2 Relationship with the managed objects definitions.....	43
	17.3 Relationship to other MIB modules.....	48
	17.4 Security.....	48
	17.5 Definitions for the Connectivity Fault Management MIB.....	50
18.	Principles of Connectivity Fault Management operation.....	117
	18.1 Maintenance Domains and Domain Service Access Points.....	118
	18.2 Service instances and Maintenance Associations.....	119
	18.3 Maintenance Domain Levels.....	121
19.	Connectivity Fault Management Entity operation.....	125
	19.1 Maintenance Points.....	125
	19.2 Maintenance association End Point.....	126
	19.3 MIP Half Function.....	131
	19.4 Maintenance Point addressing.....	134

19.5 Linktrace Output Multiplexer .....	135
19.6 Linktrace Responder .....	136
20. Connectivity Fault Management protocols .....	137
20.1 Continuity Check protocol .....	138
20.2 Loopback protocol .....	141
20.3 Linktrace protocol .....	142
20.4 Connectivity Fault Management state machines .....	146
20.5 CFM state machine timers .....	146
20.6 CFM procedures .....	148
20.7 Maintenance Domain variable .....	148
20.8 Maintenance Association variables .....	148
20.9 MEP variables .....	148
20.10 MEP Continuity Check Initiator variables .....	150
20.11 MEP Continuity Check Initiator procedures .....	151
20.12 MEP Continuity Check Initiator state machine .....	151
20.13 MHF Continuity Check Receiver variables .....	151
20.14 MHF Continuity Check Receiver procedures .....	152
20.15 MHF Continuity Check Receiver state machine .....	152
20.16 MEP Continuity Check Receiver variables .....	153
20.17 MEP Continuity Check Receiver procedures .....	155
20.18 MEP Continuity Check Receiver state machine .....	156
20.19 Remote MEP variables .....	156
20.20 Remote MEP state machine .....	158
20.21 Remote MEP Error variables .....	158
20.22 Remote MEP Error state machine .....	159
20.23 MEP Cross Connect variables .....	159
20.24 MEP Cross Connect state machine .....	160
20.25 MP Loopback Responder variables .....	160
20.26 MP Loopback Responder procedures .....	161
20.27 MP Loopback Responder state machine .....	161
20.28 MEP Loopback Initiator variables .....	162
20.29 MEP Loopback Initiator transmit procedures .....	163
20.30 MEP Loopback Initiator transmit state machine .....	163
20.31 MEP Loopback Initiator receive procedures .....	164
20.32 MEP Loopback Initiator receive state machine .....	165
20.33 MEP Fault Notification Generator variables .....	165
20.34 MEP Fault Notification Generator procedures .....	167
20.35 MEP Fault Notification Generator state machine .....	167
20.36 MEP Linktrace Initiator variables .....	168
20.37 MEP Linktrace Initiator procedures .....	170
20.38 MEP Linktrace Initiator receive variables .....	170
20.39 MEP Linktrace Initiator receive procedures .....	171
20.40 MEP Linktrace Initiator receive state machine .....	171
20.41 Linktrace Responder variables .....	171
20.42 LTM Receiver procedures .....	172
20.43 LTM Receiver state machine .....	178
20.44 LTR Transmitter procedure .....	178
20.45 LTR Transmitter state machine .....	179
20.46 CFM PDU validation and versioning .....	179
20.47 PDU identification .....	182
20.48 Use of transaction IDs and sequence numbers .....	183

21.	Encoding of CFM Protocol Data Units.....	185
	21.1 Structure, representation, and encoding.....	185
	21.2 CFM encapsulation .....	185
	21.3 CFM request and indication parameters .....	186
	21.4 Common CFM Header.....	186
	21.5 TLV Format .....	188
	21.6 Continuity Check Message format.....	193
	21.7 Loopback Message and Loopback Reply formats .....	198
	21.8 Linktrace Message Format.....	199
	21.9 Linktrace Reply Format .....	201
22.	Connectivity Fault Management in systems .....	207
	22.1 CFM shims in Bridges .....	207
	22.2 Maintenance Entity creation .....	217
	22.3 MPs, Ports, and MD Level assignment.....	221
	22.4 Stations and Connectivity Fault Management .....	222
	22.5 Scalability of Connectivity Fault Management .....	222
	22.6 CFM in Provider Bridges.....	224
	22.7 Management Port MEPs and CFM in the enterprise environment.....	226
	22.8 Implementing CFM on existing Bridges.....	228
	Annex A (normative) PICS proforma.....	229
	Annex H (informative) Bibliography.....	237
	Annex J (informative) Connectivity Fault Management protocol design and use .....	239

## Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks

# Amendment 5: Connectivity Fault Management

NOTE—The editing instructions contained in this amendment define how to merge the material contained therein into the existing base standard and its amendments to form the comprehensive standard.

The editing instructions are shown in **bold italic**. Four editing instructions are used: change, delete, insert, and replace. **Change** is used to make corrections in existing text or tables. The editing instruction specifies the location of the change and describes what is being changed by using ~~strike through~~ (to remove old material) or underscore (to add new material). **Delete** removes existing material. **Insert** adds new material without disturbing the existing material. Insertions may require renumbering. If so, renumbering instructions are given in the editing instruction. **Replace** is used to make changes in figures or equations by removing the existing figure or equation and replacing it with a new one. Editing instructions, change markings, and this NOTE will not be carried over into future editions because the changes will be incorporated into the base standard.

## 1. Overview

*Insert the following paragraph after the initial paragraphs in Clause 1:*

This standard specifies protocols and protocol entities within the architecture of VLAN-aware Bridges that provide capabilities for detecting, verifying, and isolating connectivity failures in Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks. These capabilities can be used in networks operated by multiple independent organizations, each with restricted management access to each other's equipment.

### 1.1 Scope

*Insert the following at end of 1.1, renumbering the list items so that they follow in order from those in the existing text.*

This standard specifies protocols, procedures, and managed objects to support connectivity fault management. These allow discovery and verification of the path, through bridges and LANs, taken for frames addressed to and from specified network users, and support detection and isolation of a connectivity fault to a specific bridge or LAN. To this end it:

- a) Defines Maintenance Domains, Maintenance Associations, their constituent Maintenance Points, and the managed objects required to create and administer them;
- b) Describes the protocols and procedures used by Maintenance Points to detect and diagnose connectivity faults within a Maintenance Domain.